

Research paper / Оригинальная статья
<https://doi.org/10.51176/1997-9967-2026-3-39-53>
 МРПТИ 06.73.35
 JEL: D14, G11, G53



Financial Literacy, Financial Well-being and Stock Market Participation: Evidence from Oman

Ishfaq Ahmed^{a*}, Zeeshan Asim^a, Hyder Kamran^a

^a College of Business, University of Buraimi, Sohar-Buraimi Road, Al Buraimi, Oman

For citation: Ahmed, I., Asim, Z. & Kamran, H. (2026). Financial Literacy, Financial Wellbeing and Stock Market Participation: Evidence from Oman. *Economy: strategy and practice*, 21(3), 39-53. <https://doi.org/10.51176/1997-9967-2026-3-39-53>.

ABSTRACT

The population's participation in the stock market depends not only on the availability of investment instruments but also on financial knowledge and assessments of one's own financial situation. This study examines financial literacy and stock market participation in Oman, considering demographic differences and the role of financial well-being in their relationship. The study uses methods of descriptive statistics, hierarchical binary logistic regression, and the Karlson–Holm–Breen (KHB) decomposition method. The empirical basis consisted of the results of an online survey conducted from February to June 2026 in Muscat, Salalah, and Sohar. Of the 1,000 questionnaires distributed, 786 responses were received; after verification, 739 respondents were included in the final sample. The results showed relatively low objective financial literacy: 47% of respondents answered questions about interest rates correctly, 41% about inflation, and 36% about financial risks. At the same time, 62% of participants reported a high level of financial well-being, while only 26% reported participating in the stock market. Regression analysis revealed a positive relationship between financial literacy and participation in the stock market (odds ratio — 1.42 in the second model and 1.33 in the third), while for financial well-being, this indicator was 1.25. Additional analysis indicates its possible mediating role in the relationship under consideration. The obtained results indicate the need to develop practical financial skills among the population of Oman, along with increasing the availability of investment services.

KEYWORDS: Financial Economy, Financial Literacy, Financial Well-Being, Stock Market, Investment Behavior, Inflation, Oman

CONFLICT OF INTEREST: the authors declare that there is no conflict of interest

FINANCIAL SUPPORT: this research is supported by the Ministry of Education of Oman Block Funding Program under project ID: BFP/RGP/EHR/24/528

Article history:

Received 14 May 2026

Accepted 05 September 2026

Published 30 September 2026

* **Corresponding author:** Ahmed, I. — PhD, Associate Professor, College of Business, University of Buraimi, Sohar–Buraimi Road, Al Buraimi, Oman, email: ishfaq.a@uob.edu.om

Финансовая грамотность, финансовое благополучие и участие в фондовом рынке: эмпирические данные Омана

Ахмед И.^{а*}, Асим З.^а, Камран Х.^а

^а Колледж бизнеса, Университет Бурайми, шоссе Сохар Бурайми, Эль-Бурайми, Оман

Для цитирования: Ахмед И., Асим З. и Камран Х. (2026). Финансовая грамотность, финансовое благополучие и участие в фондовом рынке: эмпирические данные Омана. Экономика: стратегия и практика, 21(3), 39-53. <https://doi.org/10.51176/1997-9967-2026-3-39-53>.

АННОТАЦИЯ

Участие населения в фондовом рынке определяется не только доступностью инвестиционных инструментов, но и уровнем финансовых знаний, а также оценкой собственного финансового положения. Цель исследования — оценить взаимосвязь финансовой грамотности и участия населения Омана в фондовом рынке с учётом социально-демографических различий и роли финансового благополучия в данной взаимосвязи. В работе использованы методы описательной статистики, иерархическая бинарная логистическая регрессия и метод декомпозиции Карлсона–Холма–Брина (КНВ). Эмпирическую основу составили результаты онлайн-опроса, проведённого с февраля по июнь 2026 года в Маскате, Салале и Сохаре. Из 1000 распространённых анкет получено 786 ответов, после проверки в итоговую выборку включены 739 респондентов. Результаты показали сравнительно низкий уровень объективной финансовой грамотности: на вопросы о процентных ставках правильно ответили 47% респондентов, об инфляции — 41%, о финансовых рисках — 36%, при этом 62% участников отметили высокий уровень финансового благополучия, а об участии в фондовом рынке сообщили только 26%. Регрессионный анализ выявил положительную связь финансовой грамотности с участием в фондовом рынке (отношение шансов — 1.42 во второй модели и 1.33 в третьей), тогда как для финансового благополучия данный показатель составил 1.25. Дополнительный анализ указывает на его возможную посредническую роль в рассматриваемой взаимосвязи. Полученные результаты свидетельствуют о необходимости развития практических финансовых навыков населения Омана наряду с повышением доступности инвестиционных услуг.

КЛЮЧЕВЫЕ СЛОВА: финансовая экономика, финансовая грамотность, финансовое благополучие, фондовый рынок, инвестиционное поведение, инфляция, Оман

КОНФЛИКТ ИНТЕРЕСОВ: авторы заявляют об отсутствии конфликта интересов

ФИНАНСИРОВАНИЕ: исследование выполнено при поддержке Министерства образования Омана в рамках программы блочного финансирования (Block Funding Program), проект № BFP/RGP/ENR/24/528.

История статьи:

Получено 14 мая 2026

Принято 05 сентября 2026

Опубликовано 30 сентября 2026

* **Корреспондирующий автор:** Ахмед И. — PhD, ассоциированный профессор, Колледж бизнеса, Университет Бурайми, шоссе Сохар Бурайми, Эль-Бурайми, Оман, email: ishfaq.a@uob.edu.om

INTRODUCTION

Stock market participation is an important driver of financial system development and personal wealth accumulation. It may also contribute to individuals' sense of financial security and well-being (Liu et al., 2024; Yun & Mao, 2025). This issue is particularly relevant to Oman, a high-income economy with a developing stock market. According to FinStatGlobe (2026), stock market activity accounted for 3% of Oman's GDP, compared with the regional average of 8.7%. Low stock market participation is not unique to Oman and is widely discussed in the literature as the stock market participation puzzle (Duraj et al., 2025).

A thorough literature review shows that stock market participation is influenced by numerous factors, including stock expectations, the nature of stocks, the risks involved, and risk preferences (Menkhoff & Westermann, 2025). Agarwal et al. (2026) found that government-provided infrastructure and facilities influence individuals' investment behavior, as they tend to receive more information, access to resources, and development opportunities that encourage stock market investment. It is also highlighted that the more people are aware of and use technology (e.g., mobile technology), the more they are inclined to invest (Fatima & Chakraborty, 2025). Bucher-Koenen et al. (2025) found that, alongside external factors, intrinsic factors drive stock market participation, as individuals can only make better use of external opportunities when they are internally motivated.

One of the most influential internal and personal factors is financial literacy, which is strongly related to investment intentions and behaviors (Alqam & Hamshari, 2024). Financial literacy is also considered an important predictor of investment behaviors, including stock market participation (Ameer & Khan, 2020; Bucher-Koenen et al., 2021; Sapiri & Awaluddin, 2023). Therefore, we propose that financial literacy is an important predictor of stock market participation in Oman. However, the financial literacy score for Omanis is 10.5, which is lower than the OECD average (Mirzaei & Buer, 2022). Therefore, the proposed relationship needs empirical testing. The study is important because the Omani government has begun valuing the role of financial literacy and embedded it in Vision 2040. Although financial literacy has two domains

(objective and subjective financial literacy), objective financial literacy is valued because it provides a more accurate picture of literacy through bias-free evaluation (Xin et al., 2024). Therefore, this study first aims to understand Omani youth's objective financial literacy level and their stock market participation.

However, some recent studies suggest that personal factors may influence financial literacy and stock market participation (Mirzaei & Buer, 2022). For instance, age, education, family wealth, occupation, and social groups (Changwony et al., 2015; Gomes & Smirnova, 2021; Menkhoff & Westermann, 2025; Vaarmets et al., 2019). A literature review shows that empirical research on this topic remains scant, especially in Oman. Against this backdrop, the current study aims to identify Omanis' level of financial literacy and stock market participation.

This study also considers the role of financial wellbeing in predicting stock market participation. Financial wellbeing is important as it is a major element of overall wellbeing in life (Netemeyer et al., 2018). Past literature has highlighted that financial wellbeing is instrumental for favorable financial outcomes (e.g., Selvia et al., 2021). Recent studies have highlighted that investment behavior requires financial stability and support, which are present when financial wellbeing is present (Alqam & Hamshari, 2024; Sorgente & Lanz, 2019). Recent meta-analytic findings by Santini et al. (2025) highlighted that financial wellbeing results from positive individual factors and can also lead to positive overall life outcomes. Therefore, studying financial wellbeing is imperative, as it drives overall life satisfaction and wellbeing.

Financial wellbeing is another factor that may influence investment decisions. As an important component of overall wellbeing, it reflects individuals' ability to meet their financial needs and maintain financial security (Netemeyer et al., 2018). Previous studies have linked financial well-being to favorable financial outcomes and investment behavior (Selvia et al., 2021; Alqam & Hamshari, 2024; Sorgente & Lanz, 2019). Santini et al. (2025) further highlighted its relationship with individual characteristics and broader life outcomes. Research also suggests that personal, environmental, and institutional factors shape financial well-being, with financial literacy identified as an important

predictor (Hernandez-Perez & Cruz Rambaud, 2025; Lusardi & Streeter, 2023; Nanda & Banerjee, 2021). Nevertheless, evidence on the relationship between financial literacy, financial well-being, and stock market participation in Oman remains limited.

This study examines financial literacy and stock market participation in Oman, considering demographic differences and the role of financial well-being in their relationship. By examining these factors together, the study contributes empirical evidence from an Omani sample and offers insights into the relationship between financial knowledge, financial well-being, and investment behaviour.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Existing literature has highlighted the value of stock market participation and its relationship with financial literacy and financial well-being. However, it has given limited attention to differences in these factors across demographic groups. Previous studies have highlighted the role of demographic variables in stock market participation. For instance, Menkhoff and Westermann (2025) identified that age, education, family wealth, and risk-taking propensity influence the likelihood of stock market participation. In their empirical study, Gomes and Smirnova (2021) observed that the likelihood of investment is higher at younger ages, levels off in middle age, and declines at older ages.

Vaarmets et al. (2019) identified that occupation influences individuals' interest in investments and stocks. Ameriks and Zeldes (2004) highlighted that investors' age largely influences investment decisions, as younger individuals may be more willing to take risks but have less experience identifying them. Changwony et al. (2015) highlighted that social groups influence individuals' motivation to invest and their investment choices. Christiansen et al. (2008) further argued that education is another important predictor of stock market participation, as economics and business education increases individuals' understanding of financial markets and encourages participation.

Family background also matters, as families with higher levels of financial literacy tend to make more investments, including stock market participation (van Rooij et al., 2011). Cole and Shastry (2014) further highlighted that financial

education improves individuals' understanding of markets, finance and the economy, encouraging them to pay closer attention to their financial future and invest to achieve financial wellbeing. Hong et al. (2004) emphasized the role of location and background in financial choices, literacy and investments. They identified that individuals living in cities have more investment opportunities and greater access to financial markets, which may encourage stock market participation.

Taken together, these studies suggest that demographic factors (e.g., age, education, family background, and occupation) are associated with financial literacy, financial wellbeing, and stock market participation. Accordingly, the following hypothesis is proposed:

H1: Financial literacy, financial wellbeing, and stock market participation differ significantly across demographic groups.

According to Remund (2010), financial literacy refers to one's understanding of financial concepts and ability to make financial decisions considering economic and life circumstances. Previous studies have shown that financial literacy predicts financial behavior (Sivaramakrishnan et al., 2017; Zhao & Zhang, 2020). Financial literacy encompasses two major dimensions: subjective and objective financial literacy (Nejad & Javid, 2018). The former reflects individuals' confidence in their financial abilities (Alba & Hutchinson, 2000), while the latter refers to their understanding of financial instruments, principles, and concepts (Lusardi & Mitchell, 2014). Although both dimensions matter (e.g., Zhao & Zhang, 2021), objective financial literacy more directly assesses financial knowledge and is less affected by self-reporting bias (Xin et al., 2024).

Therefore, this study uses objective financial literacy to assess individuals' financial knowledge. Previous research has identified objective financial literacy as an important determinant of investment behavior (Kim et al., 2019), including stock market participation (Akhtar & Das, 2019). Higher objective financial literacy has also been associated with a greater propensity to invest in risky assets (Liao et al., 2017), including mutual funds (Chu et al., 2017) and stocks (Thomas & Spataro, 2018). Based on these findings, this study examines the relationship between objective financial literacy and stock market participation in Oman through the following hypothesis:

H2: Objective financial literacy is positively related to stock market participation.

Previous research has also linked stock market participation to financial wellbeing, defined as a state in which individuals feel financially secure, have financial freedom, and believe they can meet their current and future financial needs and achieve desired outcomes (Netemeyer et al., 2018). Financial wellbeing may influence individuals' ability to meet these needs and contribute to overall life satisfaction. Under such circumstances, individuals are more likely to plan and manage their financial resources, including savings and investments (Alqam & Hamshari, 2024). Financial wellbeing may also strengthen their ability to manage resources effectively (Lusardi & Mitchell, 2014).

Financial wellbeing enables individuals to manage their resources during difficult times and stressful situations (Naami et al., 2023). This may stem from the sense of financial protection and security associated with financial wellbeing (Cannon et al., 2024). Handranata et al. (2022) also observed a relationship between financial wellbeing and stock market investment, including during crises such as the COVID-19 pandemic. Investments can help protect financial health (Sriram et al., 2024) by increasing the future value of invested funds.

Bucher-Koenen et al. (2023) identified a relationship between stock market participation and financial wellbeing, suggesting that investment opportunities and financial security are closely connected. Furthermore, higher levels of financial wellbeing may encourage individuals to invest in stocks. Parvin et al. (2026) also highlighted the role of financial wellbeing in stock market participation, suggesting that individuals who are more satisfied with their financial situation may be more inclined to invest. Based on these findings, the following hypothesis is proposed:

H3: Financial wellbeing is positively related to stock market participation.

Taken together, the reviewed studies suggest that financial literacy and financial wellbeing are associated with stock market participation, while demographic characteristics may account for differences across individuals. This study further examines these relationships using empirical data from Oman.

RESEARCH METHODS

The research uses a quantitative approach with a one-step (cross-sectional) design. The working-age population of Oman (15-64 years) was considered the general population. According to Al-Jilani (2026), the number of Omani citizens is 3.04 million (excluding foreign citizens), of which 59.1%, or approximately 1.8 million people, belong to this age group. To determine the required sample size, the Yamane formula (Yamane, 1967) was used by formula (1):

$$n = N / (1 + Ne^2) \quad (1)$$

where:

n – the required sample size;

N – the size of the population;

e – the error term.

With a permissible sampling error of 5% and a population size of approximately 1.8 million people, the calculation using formula (1) showed that the required sample size is approximately 400 respondents. To further assess the minimum sample size, considering a higher level of confidence, Cochran's formula (Cochran, 1977) was used, as presented below in formula (2):

$$n_0 = \frac{Z^2 \cdot p \cdot (1-p)}{e^2} \quad (2)$$

where:

n₀ – the estimated sample size;

Z – the critical value of the standard normal distribution corresponding to the selected confidence level;

p – the estimated proportion of the population possessing the trait under study;

e – the maximum allowable sampling error.

The calculation used a 99% confidence level, which corresponds to Z = 2.576. Because no preliminary information was available about the distribution of the studied characteristics in the population, p was set to 0.5. This approach makes it possible to obtain the maximum calculated sample size for the given parameters. The permissible error was maintained at 5% (e = 0.05). Substituting these

values into formula (2) yields 663.58, which, when rounded up, amounts to 664 respondents. Thus, the minimum sample size for the study was set at 664 participants.

Primary data were collected between February and June 2026 through an online survey conducted in major cities of Oman, including Muscat, Salalah, and Sohar. The survey targeted individuals aged 18 and above who participated in household financial decision-making and were familiar with basic financial concepts. A total of 1,000 questionnaires were distributed, and 786 responses were received. After screening the responses against the inclusion criteria, 739 valid questionnaires were retained for further analysis. The final sample exceeded the minimum sample size of 664 respondents calculated using Cochran's formula (2).

Convenience and referral sampling techniques were employed to recruit respondents. These methods were selected mainly because a complete sampling frame was unavailable and because they offered practical advantages in time and resources (Jager et al., 2017; Etikan et al., 2016). To obtain a more diverse sample, the researchers recruited respondents across different demographic categories, including gender, age, occupation, marital status, household financial decision-making role, and family type. Nevertheless, the non-probability nature of the sampling procedure should be considered when interpreting the findings and generalising them to the wider Omani population.

The measurement instruments were adapted from previous studies. Financial literacy was assessed using three items adopted from Yang et al. (2023), covering respondents' understanding of interest rates, inflation, and financial risk. Each question offered multiple response options, with correct answers coded as 1 and incorrect or other responses coded as 0.

Financial wellbeing was measured using the four-item scale developed by Handranata et al. (2022). Responses were converted to binary values, with "Agree" and "Strongly agree" coded as 1 and "Disagree", "Strongly disagree", and missing responses coded as 0. Jeong and Lee (2016) discussed a similar approach to dichotomizing response scales.

Stock market participation was measured using a binary question: "Have you invested in the stock market, either directly or through a fund that invests

in stocks?" Responses were coded as 1 for "Yes" and 0 for "No". The question was adapted from Zhao and Zhang (2021).

Data analysis was carried out in three stages.

(1) First, descriptive statistics were calculated to examine the sample's demographic composition and the distribution of financial literacy, financial wellbeing, and stock market participation. Pearson's chi-square (χ^2) tests were applied to assess associations between demographic characteristics and the three variables, providing the basis for testing H1.

(2) Second, hierarchical binary logistic regression was employed to examine the relationships between financial literacy, financial wellbeing, and stock market participation. The explanatory variables were introduced sequentially. Model 1 included demographic characteristics as control variables, Model 2 additionally incorporated financial literacy, and Model 3 introduced financial wellbeing. This procedure allowed us to examine each predictor's contribution while controlling for demographic differences, providing evidence to test H2 and H3. Model fit and improvements across successive models were assessed using the -2 log-likelihood statistic and McFadden's pseudo- R^2 .

(3) Third, an additional mediation analysis was performed using the Karlson–Holm–Breen (KHB) method, which allows effects to be decomposed in nonlinear regression models. This analysis examined the potential mediating role of financial wellbeing in the relationship between the three components of financial literacy (interest rates, inflation, and risk) and stock market participation. Total, direct, and indirect effects were estimated, together with their statistical significance.

RESULTS

This section presents the results of an analysis of financial literacy, financial well-being, and Omani participation in the stock market. In the first stage, we examined respondents' socio-demographic characteristics and differences in financial knowledge, including understanding of interest rates, inflation, and financial risk. The distribution of correct and incorrect answers, by respondents' socio-demographic characteristics, makes it possible to identify differences in financial literacy between population groups (Table 1).

Table 1. Demographic characteristics and distribution of financial literacy responses (N = 739)

Variable	Sample (%)	Financial literacy					
		Interest		Inflation		Risk	
		Know (n=347)	Don't know (n=392)	Know (n=301)	Don't know (n=438)	Know (n=263)	Don't know (n=476)
Gender		X ² =18.65***		X ² =16.92***		X ² =17.52***	
Male	53.56	83.59	16.41	54.58	42.06	35.69	62.74
Female	39.86	13.42	79.63	42.06	54.58	62.74	35.69
Not specified	6.58	2.99	3.96	3.36	3.36	1.57	1.57
Age		X ² =123.55**		X ² =111.69**		X ² =96.74***	
<20 years	18.98	22.65	21.37	18.96	12.56	15.45	22.69
21-30 years	29.65	31.89	19.52	23.25	21.12	23.67	20.58
31-40 years	14.58	26.71	13.85	19.52	19.45	19.69	25.69
41-50 years	16.85	14.39	12.68	23.63	26.85	29.55	18.43
>50 years	19.94	4.36	32.58	14.64	20.02	11.64	12.61
Profession		X ² =45.32**		X ² =0.568		X ² =66.98***	
Student	34.58	19.3	35.69	20.18	25.69	21.75	9.25
Job holder	29.38	34.09	12.68	23.69	18.58	53.75	21.39
Entrepreneur	7.65	27.98	23.75	26.58	26.86	12.65	33.59
Graduated job seeker	28.39	18.63	27.88	29.55	28.87	11.85	35.77
Marital Status		X ² =3.62		X ² =5.85		X ² =7.90**	
Single	47.54	48.35	42.17	42.65	47.43	38.65	42.15
Married	33.83	43.75	51.31	48.9	50.68	43.55	49.52
Not specified	18.63	7.9	6.52	8.45	1.89	17.8	8.33
Decision-making role		X ² =79.55**		X ² =98.90**		X ² =80.74**	
Dependent	53.68	12.35	57.63	18.69	23.75	18.74	25.45
Independent	23.57	31.68	18.69	23.55	18.69	22.65	20.67
Make major family decisions	12.69	31.68	19.25	32.32	33.25	31.85	28.62
Only participate in family decisions	10.06	24.29	4.43	25.44	24.31	26.76	25.26
Family type		X ² =89.25***		X ² =75.69**		X ² =6.69	
Nuclear family	12.25	19.63	32.69	10.35	35.69	21.58	25.12
Single-parent family	8.2	11.58	25.63	19.45	25.74	23.9	22.25
Extended family	45.35	36.85	18.25	32.65	12.45	20.18	22.18
Blended family	28.2	21.74	18.65	33.87	22.85	19.65	19.74
Not specified	6	10.2	4.78	3.68	3.27	14.69	10.71

χ^2 – Pearson's chi-square statistic; * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$. Percentages are reported by response category

Note: compiled by the authors.

Table 1 reveals the demographic profile (gender, age, profession, marital status, decision-making roles, and family type) and descriptive statistics (financial literacy – inflation, interest, and risk). The results show that most respondents were male (53.56%), aged 30 years or younger (48.63%), students (34%), single (48%), dependent (54%), and living in an extended family (45%). Financial literacy was low to moderate in the sample; 47% responded correctly to questions of interest, 41% to questions of inflation, and 36% to questions of risk. These results align with Mirzaei and Buer (2022),

who found that financial literacy was low in Oman and that people with more knowledge had higher savings and investment intentions.

The table also shows that gender is significantly associated with financial literacy elements (Interest, Inflation, and Risk). The male sample showed higher financial literacy in interest and inflation. In contrast, the female sample was better at understanding risk, showing a significant imbalance between male and female samples ($X^2 = 16.92$ – 31.77 , $p < .001$, $p < .0001$). In addition, individuals under 30 are more knowledgeable about interest ($X^2 = 123.55$ **),

inflation ($X^2 = 111.69^{**}$), and risk ($X^2 = 96.74^{***}$). These results support previous literature, e.g., Zhao and Zhang (2021), who found that younger individuals behave differently in financial matters than other age brackets. These results also align with Mirzaei and Buer (2022), who found that the Omani population has different levels of financial literacy by gender, age, and education.

Respondents' professions showed mixed results across samples. Financial literacy differed significantly by profession (interest and risk factors, $X^2=45.32^*$ and $X^2=66.98^{***}$), with job holders showing a higher level of understanding of interest and risk. Entrepreneurs and students displayed the lowest level of understanding of the elements of financial literacy. Marital status was significantly associated with financial wellbeing ($X^2= 6.63$, $p < 0.05$), but not with stock market participation ($X^2= 4.56$, $p > 0.05$). Married individuals were more knowledgeable about financial risks ($X^2=7.90^{**}$).

Individuals' decision-making roles were also significantly associated with interest ($X^2=79.55^{**}$), inflation ($X^2=98.90^{**}$), and risk ($X^2=80.74^{**}$), with independent individuals or those who contributed to family decisions showing higher financial literacy (understanding of interest, inflation, and risk).

The results also show significant differences in family understanding of interest ($X^2=89.25^{***}$), inflation ($X^2=75.69^{***}$), and financial literacy ($X^2=42.96^{**}$). Members of the extended or blended family have a better understanding of interest and inflation. Family type did not affect understanding of the risk element. The results show that gender, age, profession, decision-making roles, and family type are the main factors affecting financial literacy. Marital status was significantly associated only with risk-related knowledge. Table 2 presents demographic results related to financial well-being and stock market participation.

Table 2. Descriptive statistics for financial well-being and stock market participation

Variable	Financial well-being: High (n = 459)	Financial wellbeing: Low (n = 280)	Stock market participation: Yes (n = 189)	Stock market participation: No (n = 450)
Gender	$X^2= 12.56^{**}$		$X^2=12.56$	
Male	75.68	24.32	76.25	23.75
Female	81.36	18.64	22.68	72.82
Not to specify	1.03	2.36	1.07	3.43
Age	$X^2=9.79$		$X^2=124.05^{***}$	
<20 years	20.18	18.48	2.05	1.05
21-30 years	19.65	21.58	14.36	19.52
31-40 years	18.2	19.85	38.65	28.95
41-50 years	23.65	23.62	29.78	35.62
>50 years	18.32	16.47	15.16	14.86
Profession	$X^2=75.09^{**}$		$X^2=50.81^{***}$	
Student	11.25	42.57	9.67	21.59
Job holder	32.35	16.63	51.28	19.58
Entrepreneur	42.25	9.54	15.38	13.68
Graduated job seeker	14.15	31.26	23.67	45.15
Marital Status	$X^2=6.63$		$X^2=4.56$	
Single	51.09	48.91	46.57	40.06
Married	48.91	51.09	41.92	51.35
Not to specify	0	0	11.51	8.59
Decision-making role	$X^2=15.23^*$		$X^2=81.35^{**}$	
Dependent	17.18	20.32	24.5	51.05
Independent	21.39	23.65	31.68	23.68
Make major family decisions	39.58	36.81	24.76	12.59
Only participate in family decisions	21.85	19.22	19.06	12.68
Family type	$X^2=42.96^{**}$		$X^2=16.95^{***}$	
Nuclear family	12.59	33.61	18.36	23.25

Single parent family	18.56	29.6	12.35	19.62
Extended family	32.74	19.65	36.58	28.65
Blended family	31.56	12.59	18.54	22.15
Not specified	4.55	4.55	14.17	6.33
χ^2 – Pearson's chi-square statistic; * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$. Percentages are reported by response category				

Note: compiled by the authors.

Table 2 presents descriptive results for financial well-being and stock market participation. Financial well-being was classified as high for 62% of respondents, with 62% reporting they felt financially well. The table reveals that only 26% of the respondents invested in the stock market (either directly or indirectly). These results reveal high financial well-being and low stock market participation in the sample. Gender was also significantly associated with stock market participation, with males more inclined to participate.

The results show that stock market participation was higher in the 31-50 age group ($X^2=124.05^{**}$), and well-being did not differ across age groups. Profession showed mixed results across samples: well-being ($X^2=75.09^{***}$) and stock market participation ($X^2=50.81^{***}$) differed across samples, with job holders showing higher financial well-being and stock market participation. Entrepreneurs and students had low stock market participation, while students and graduate job seekers had the lowest financial well-being. Marital status did not differ in financial well-being and stock market participation (i.e., $X^2=6.63$ and $X^2=4.56$, respectively). Individuals' decision-

making roles were also significantly associated with financial well-being ($X^2=15.23^*$) and stock market participation ($X^2=81.35^{**}$), with individuals who were independent or contributed to family decisions showing greater intent to participate in the stock market through investment.

The table also presents the effects of family type on financial well-being and stock market participation. The results reveal a significant difference in stock market participation by family type ($X^2=76.95^{***}$). Members of extended or blended families also have higher levels of financial well-being, while members of extended families participate in the stock market. Family type did not affect understanding of the risk element. The results show that gender, age, profession, decision-making roles, and family type are the main factors affecting financial well-being and stock market participation. In contrast, marital status does not affect financial literacy or stock market participation. Based on Tables 1 and 2, the results support H1.

The effects of demographic variables and predictors (financial literacy and financial well-being) are further tested through hierarchical logistic regression as shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Hierarchical binary logistic regression predicting stock market participation

Variable	Stock Market Participation					
	Model-1		Model-2		Model-3	
	Exp (p)	S.E.	Exp (p)	S.E.	Exp (p)	S.E.
Intercept	3.28	0.71	0.63	0.79	0.35	0.85
Gender (Ref: Female)						
Female	0.38**	0.41	0.42**	0.39	0.45**	0.31
Not to specify	0.09	0.63	0.11	0.62	0.09	0.54
Age (Ref: <20 years)						
21-30 years	0.12	0.25	0.14	0.39	0.16	0.29
31-40 years	0.48**	0.37	0.46**	0.35	0.44**	0.30
41-50 years	0.32**	0.54	0.30**	0.52	0.28**	0.33
>50 years	0.08	0.56	0.07	0.63	0.06	0.67
Profession (Ref: Student)						
Job holder	0.42**	0.23	0.48**	0.19	0.52**	0.18

Entrepreneur	0.21**	0.32	0.23**	0.35	0.29**	0.21
Graduated job seeker	0.08	0.41	0.07	0.39	0.10	0.38
Marital status (Ref: Single)						
Married	0.09	0.68	0.19**	0.5	0.2***	0.37
Not to specify	0.02	0.72	0.05	0.69	0.04	0.77
Decision making role (Ref: Dependent)						
Independent	0.15**	0.54	0.28***	0.28	0.32***	0.35
Make major family decisions	0.22**	0.31	0.29**	0.25	0.34***	0.29
Only participate in family decisions	0.06	0.63	0.09	0.59	0.09	0.57
Family type (Ref: Nuclear family)						
Single parent family	0.09	0.65	0.08	0.69	0.09*	0.42
Extended family	0.26**	0.28	0.29**	0.25	0.32**	0.28
Blended family	0.25**	0.36	0.26**	0.29	0.28**	0.25
Not to specify	0.07	0.68	-0.06	0.54	-0.05	0.56
Financial Literacy			1.42**	0.2	1.33**	0.29
Financial wellbeing					1.25***	0.3
-2 log likelihood	669.25		628.69		581.42	
Δ -2 log likelihood	-		40.56		47.27	
McFadden R ²	.3219		.3495		.3927	

Note: compiled by the authors

Table 3 presents the results of hierarchical logistic regression. McFadden's pseudo-R² increased from 0.3219 in Model 1 to 0.3927 in Model 3, with the latter showing the highest reported explanatory power (McFadden, 1977). The -2 log-likelihood decreased from 669.25 to 628.69 and subsequently to 581.42. The corresponding reductions of 40.56 and 47.27 indicate improved model fit after including financial literacy (Model 2) and financial wellbeing (Model 3), respectively.

The male sample is significantly (<.01) associated with participation in the stock market across all three models. The odds ratio of .38 to .45 indicates males have higher stock market participation interest when compared with females. The effects slightly strengthen after the inclusion of financial literacy and financial wellbeing in the models, highlighting that these variables even influence stock market participation after controlling for gender. While looking at the age groups, it is evident that respondents from 31-40 years of age are more inclined to invest in stock markets (odd ratio of 0.44-0.48, $p < .01$) followed by the age group of 41-50 (odd ratio of .28-.32, $p < .01$). Similarly, when we look at the professional groups of respondents, it is evident that job holders and entrepreneurs were more inclined to invest in stock markets (odd ratios .42-.52, $p < .01$ for job holders; odd ratios .21-.29, $p < .01$ for entrepreneurs).

Regarding marital status, married individuals

are more inclined to invest (odds ratios .19- .20, $p < .01$ and $p < .001$, respectively) when literacy and financial wellbeing are added (model-2 and model-3). This suggests that married individuals tend to participate in stock markets because of pooled resources, shared financial decisions, and financial stability. Independent (odds ratio .15-.32, $p < .001$) and major family decision makers (odds ratio .22-.34, $p < .01$ -.001) are significantly associated with participation in stock markets, with major family decision makers being more inclined. Those who participate only in family decisions are less likely to invest in stocks. Participants from extended (odds ratio .26-.32, $p < .01$) and blended families (odds ratio .25-.28, $p < .01$) are more likely to participate in stock investments. Single-parent families are interested in investing in stock markets only when they have financial wellbeing ($p < .05$, model-3).

Financial literacy is positively associated with participation in the stock market ($p < .01$) in both model-2 and model-3; a one-unit increase in financial literacy was associated with 33–42% higher odds of stock market participation. The results show that financial literacy is a significant predictor of stock market participation; H2 is supported. After adding financial wellbeing in model 3, it is further evident that financial wellbeing is positively associated with participation in the stock market ($p < .001$; with a one-unit increase in financial wellbeing, the odds

of stock market participation were 25% higher), supporting H3.

After including financial wellbeing, the odds ratio for financial literacy decreased from 1.42 to 1.33, suggesting a possible mediating role of financial wellbeing. To examine this relationship

further, an additional mediation analysis was conducted using the Karlson–Holm–Breen (KHB) method, which accounts for rescaling differences in nested nonlinear probability models (Karlson & Holm, 2011). Table 4 presents the results.

Table 4. Mediation analysis using the KHB method

Financial literacy components and effects	Coefficient	S.E.	p-value
Interest			
Reduced model (without mediator)	0.129	0.049	0.012
Full model (with mediator)	0.118	0.053	0.049
Difference (indirect effect)	0.011	0.004	0.020
Inflation			
Reduced model (without mediator)	0.391	0.100	less than 0.001
Full model (with mediator)	0.328	0.103	0.001
Difference (indirect effect)	0.063	0.017	less than 0.001
Risk			
Reduced model (without mediator)	0.254	0.028	0.001

Note: compiled by the authors

Table 4 presents the results, where the total effect comes from the coefficient of the reduced model (model-2, without mediator), while the full model (model-3, with mediator) estimates the direct effects. The indirect effects are based on differences between the total and direct effect coefficients. The table shows that all direct (0.118, 0.328, and 0.179) and total effects (0.129, 0.391, and 0.254) are significant. The coefficient differences (indirect effects) are also significant (0.011, 0.063, and 0.075, respectively), supporting the partial mediation mechanisms.

CONCLUSION

The study identified the characteristics of financial literacy, financial well-being, and Omani participation in the stock market. The survey results from 739 respondents indicate a relatively low level of financial knowledge: 47% of participants answered correctly on questions about interest rates, 41% about inflation, and 36% about financial risk. At the same time, only 26% of the respondents reported participating in the stock market, while 62% rated their financial well-being positively. This discrepancy deserves attention, as a sense of financial stability is not always accompanied by sufficient understanding of financial instruments and investment opportunities. Mirzaei and Buer

(2022) also pointed to insufficient financial literacy in Oman.

The analysis showed that financial knowledge and investment behavior vary depending on socio-demographic characteristics. The most noticeable differences relate to age, gender, professional status, role in family decision-making, and family type. The regression results also indicate a positive relationship between financial literacy and financial well-being and stock market participation. Additional mediation analysis suggests that financial well-being may act as an intermediate link between financial knowledge and investment behavior. However, the nature of this relationship requires further study.

Overall, this research provides insights into the relationship among financial literacy, financial well-being, and stock market participation in Oman. It is concluded that stock market participation is influenced by financial literacy, financial wellbeing, and demographic characteristics. Demographic variables such as gender, age, employment status, decision-making autonomy, and family structure emerge as important considerations when studying financial knowledge and behavior in Oman. The results suggest that financial outcomes should be addressed through both cognitive (e.g., financial knowledge and literacy) and affective factors (attitude, emotional disposition, and confidence).

The findings highlight that financial literacy and participation in stocks are low, but financial well-being is considered moderate to high in Oman. These results could be investigated further by considering the factors that explain the underlying reasons for such findings. Theoretically, researchers can identify new research directions and design future studies that could further the literature on financial literacy, financial wellbeing, and investment in stocks. Practically, these results are useful for policymakers, educators, and financial institutions, as they can draw their interventional mechanisms from the given findings. A set of comprehensive strategies can promote financial literacy and stock market investment by further strengthening financial well-being.

Several limitations should be acknowledged. The use of convenience and referral sampling, together with the concentration of respondents in major cities, limits the generalisability of the findings to the wider Omani population. Moreover, the cross-sectional design does not allow causal relationships to be established. Future research could employ more representative samples, examine changes in financial behaviour over time, and consider additional factors such as household income, digital financial skills, and family financial socialisation.

Overall, improving stock market participation in Oman requires attention not only to financial knowledge but also to the conditions under which individuals make investment decisions. Combining financial education with accessible financial services may help households make more informed choices and strengthen their long-term financial well-being.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization and theory: IA; research design: IA and ZA; data collection: IA, ZA and HK; analysis and interpretation: IA, ZA and HK; writing and draft preparation: IA, ZA, HK; Supervision: IA; correction of article: HK; proofread and finalization: ZA & HK. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Agarwal, S., Ayyagari, M., Cheng, Y., & Ghosh, P. (2026). The road to stock market participation. *Management Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.2024.04496>
- Akhtar, F., & Das, N. (2019). Predictors of investment intention in Indian stock markets: Extending the theory of planned behaviour. *International journal of bank marketing*, 37(1), 97-119. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJBM-08-2017-0167>
- Alba, J. W., & Hutchinson, J. W. (2000). Knowledge calibration: What consumers know and what they think they know. *Journal of consumer research*, 27(2), 123-156. <https://doi.org/10.1086/314317>
- Al-Jilani, A. (2026). Oman's population grows by 1.7%, while land ownership covers 6% of the country's area [In Arabic]. *Oman Daily*. <https://www.omandaily.om/print-article?articleId=1204670>
- Alqam, M. A., & Hamshari, Y. M. (2024). The impact of financial literacy on financial inclusion for financial well-being of youth: evidence from Jordan. *Discover Sustainability*, 5(1), 528. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43621-024-00704-6>
- Ameer, R., & Khan, R. (2020). Financial socialization, financial literacy, and financial behavior of adults in New Zealand. *Journal of Financial Counseling and Planning*, 31(2), 313-329. <https://doi.org/10.1891/jfcp-18-00042>
- Ameriks, J., & Zeldes, S. P. (2004). *How do household portfolio shares vary with age?* [Working paper]. Columbia Business School. https://business.columbia.edu/sites/default/files-efs/pubfiles/16/Ameriks_Zeldes_age_Sept_2004d.pdf
- Bucher-Koenen, T., Alessie, R. J., Lusardi, A., & Van Rooij, M. (2021). *Fearless woman: Financial literacy and stock market participation* (No. w28723). National Bureau of Economic Research. Cambridge, MA. <https://doi.org/10.3386/w28723>
- Bucher-Koenen, T., Alessie, R., Lusardi, A., & Van Rooij, M. (2025). Fearless woman: Financial literacy, confidence, and stock market participation. *Management Science*, 71(9), 7414-7430. <https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.2023.00425>
- Bucher-Koenen, T., Janssen, B., Knebel, C., & Tzamourani, P. (2023). Financial literacy, stock market participation, and financial wellbeing in Germany. *Journal of Financial Literacy and Wellbeing*, 1(3), 486-513. <https://doi.org/10.1017/flw.2024.5>
- Cannon, B., Hirshleifer, D., & Thornton, J. (2024). Friends with benefits: Social capital and household financial behavior (NBER Working Paper No. 32186). National Bureau of Economic Research. <https://doi.org/10.3386/w32186>
- Changwony, F., Campbell, K., & Tabner, I. (2015). Social engagement and stock market participation. *Review of Finance*, 19(1), 317-366. <https://doi.org/10.1093/rof/rft059>
- Christiansen, C., Schröter Joensen, J., & Rangvid, J. (2008). Are economists more likely to hold stocks. *Review of Finance*, 12(3), 465-496. <https://doi.org/10.1093/rof/rfm026>

- Chu, Z., Wang, Z., Xiao, J. J., & Zhang, W. (2017). Financial literacy, portfolio choice and financial well-being. *Social indicators research*, 132(2), 799-820. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-016-1309-2>
- Cochran, W. G. (1977). *Sampling Techniques* (3rd ed.). New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Cole, S., & Shastry, G. K. (2014). Smart money? The effect of education on financial outcomes. *Review of Financial Studies*, 27(7), 2022–2051. <https://doi.org/10.1093/rfs/hhu012>
- Duraj, K., Grunow, D., Haliassos, M., Laudenbach, C., & Siegel, S. (2025). *Rethinking the stock market participation puzzle: A qualitative approach* (No. 11980). CESifo Working Paper. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5355497>
- Etikan, I., Musa, S. A., & Alkassim, R. S. (2016). Comparison of convenience sampling and purposive sampling. *American Journal of Theoretical and Applied Statistics*, 5(1), 1–4. <https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ajtas.20160501.11>
- Fatima, S., & Chakraborty, M. (2025). Does mobile phone proficiency contribute to stock market participation? The role of payment convenience, liquidity, and social interaction. *Economic Modelling*, 144, 106988. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econmod.2024.106988>
- FinStatGlobe (2026). Stock Trading Activity Statistics in Oman. Retrieved from <https://data.finstatglobe.com/oman/stock-trading-activity-statistics> on 16 September 2026.
- Gomes, F. & Smirnova, O. (2021, March 15). Stock Market Participation and Portfolio Shares Over the Life-Cycle. Cornell SC Johnson College of Business Research Paper, Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3808350> or <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3808350>
- Handranata, Y. W., Arief, M., Warganegara, D. L., & Hamsal, M. (2022). Does stock influencer on social media and financial well-being affect the stock market participation of the young generations during the Covid-19 pandemic? *Global Business & Finance Review (GBFR)*, 27(5), 115-128. <https://doi.org/10.17549/gbfr.2022.27.5.115>
- Hernandez-Perez, J., & Cruz Rambaud, S. (2025). Uncovering the factors of financial well-being: the role of self-control, self-efficacy, and financial hardship. *Future Business Journal*, 11(1), article No. 70. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s43093-025-00498-7>
- Hong, H., Kubik, J., & Stein, J. (2004). Social interaction and stock market participation. *Journal of Finance*, 59(1), 137–163. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.2004.00629.x>
- Jager, J., Putnick, D. L., & Bornstein, M. H. (2017). More than just convenient: The scientific merits of homogeneous convenience samples. *Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development*, 82(2), 13–30. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mono.12296>
- Jeong, H., & Lee, W. (2016). The level of collapse we are allowed: Comparison of different response scales in Safety Attitudes Questionnaire. *Biometrics & Biostatistics International Journal*, 4(4), 128-134. <https://doi.org/10.15406/BBIJ.2016.04.00100>
- Karlson, K. B., & Holm, A. (2011). Decomposing primary and secondary effects: A new decomposition method. *Research in Social Stratification and mobility*, 29(2), 221-237. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rssm.2010.12.005>
- Kim, K. T., Anderson, S. G., & Seay, M. C. (2019). Financial knowledge and short-term and long-term financial behaviors of millennials in the United States. *Journal of Family and Economic Issues*, 40(2), 194-208. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10834-018-9595-2>
- Liao, L., Xiao, J. J., Zhang, W., & Zhou, C. (2017). Financial literacy and risky asset holdings: evidence from China. *Accounting & Finance*, 57(5), 1383-1415. <https://doi.org/10.1111/acfi.12329>
- Liu, D., Zhao, L., & Yi, H. (2024). Financial market participation, household asset choices, and resident well-being: An empirical analysis of China family panel studies data. *Finance Research Letters*, 67, 105885. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2024.105885>
- Lusardi, A., & Mitchell, O. S. (2014). The economic importance of financial literacy: Theory and evidence. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 52(1), 5-44. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jel.52.1.5>
- Lusardi, A., & Streeter, J. L. (2023). Financial literacy and financial well-being: Evidence from the US. *Journal of Financial Literacy and Wellbeing*, 1(2), 169-198. <https://doi.org/10.1017/fjw.2023.13>
- McFadden, D. (1977). Quantitative methods for analyzing travel behaviour of individuals: some recent developments. In Hensher, D.A. and Stopher, P.R. (Eds), *Behavioural Travel Modelling*, Croom Helm, London, pp. 279-318. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003156055-18>
- Menkhoff, L., & Westermann, J. (2025). Determinants of stock market participation. *Journal of Economic Surveys*, 39(3), 953-979. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joes.12634>
- Mirzaei, M., & Buer, T. (2022). First results on financial literacy in Oman. *Managerial Finance*, 48(9-10), 1413-1429. <https://doi.org/10.1108/mf-09-2021-0456>
- Naami, A., Mills, A. A., & Abbey, E. (2023). Financial capability and asset building (FCAB) for persons with disabilities in Ghana: The role of social workers. *Global Social Welfare*, 10(1), 29-38. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40609-022-00250-x>
- Nanda, A. P., & Banerjee, R. (2021). Consumer's subjective financial well-being: A systematic review and research agenda. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, 45(4), 750-776. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijcs.12668>

- Nejad, M. G., & Javid, K. (2018). Subjective and objective financial literacy, opinion leadership, and the use of retail banking services. *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 36(4), 784-804. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijbm-07-2017-0153>
- Netemeyer, R.G., Warmath, D., Fernandes, D., & Lynch, J.G. (2018). How Am I Doing? Perceived Financial Well-Being, Its Potential Antecedents, and Its Relation to Overall Well-Being. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 45(1), 68-89. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jcr/ucx109>
- Parvin, S. R., Panakaje, N., Madhura, K., Irfana, S., & Kulal, A. (2026). Stock market participation through socialization: exploring the intervening role of financial well-being. *South Asian Journal of Business Studies*, 15(1), 63-84. <https://doi.org/10.1108/sajbs-09-2024-0355>
- Remund, D.L. (2010). Financial literacy explicated: The case for a clearer definition in an increasingly complex economy. *Journal of consumer affairs*, 44(2), 276-295. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6606.2010.01169.x>
- Santini, F. D. O., Mette, F., Carvalho, B. P., Ladeira, W. J., & Sampaio, C. H. (2025). Drivers and outcomes of financial well-being. *RAM. Revista de Administração Mackenzie*, 26(04), eRAMR250094. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1678-6971/eramr250094>
- Sapiri, M., & Awaluddin, M. (2023). Distribution of Financial Attitude, Financial Behavior, Financial Knowledge and Financial Literacy on the Investment Decision Behavior of Young Investors. *Journal of Distribution Science*, 21(11), 45-53. <https://doi.org/10.15722/jds.21.11.202311.45>
- Selvia, G., Rahmayanti, D., Afandy, C., & Zoraya, I. (2021, May). The effect of financial knowledge, financial behavior and financial inclusion on financial well-being. In *BISIC 2020: Proceedings of the 3rd Beehive International Social Innovation Conference, BISIC 2020, 3-4 October 2020* (p. 12). Bengkulu, Indonesia: European Alliance for Innovation.
- Sivaramakrishnan, S., Srivastava, M., & Rastogi, A. (2017). Attitudinal factors, financial literacy, and stock market participation. *International journal of bank marketing*, 35(5), 818-841. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijbm-01-2016-0012>
- Sorgente, A., & Lanz, M. (2019). The multidimensional subjective financial well-being scale for emerging adults: Development and validation studies. *International Journal of Behavioral Development*, 43(5), 466-478. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0165025419851859>
- Sriram, H.K., Challa, S.R., Challa, K., Lakarasu, P., & Adusupalli, B. (2025). Strategic Financial Growth: Strengthening Investment Management, Secure Transactions, and Risk Protection in the Digital Era. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5204910>
- Thomas, A., & Spataro, L. (2018). Financial literacy, human capital and stock market participation in Europe. *Journal of Family and Economic Issues*, 39(4), 532-550. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10834-018-9576-5>
- Vaarmets, T., Liivamägi, K., & Talpsepp, T. (2019). From academic abilities to occupation: What drives stock market participation. *Emerging Markets Review*, 39, 83-100. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ememar.2019.04.004>
- van Rooij, M., Lusardi, A., & Alessie, R. (2011). Financial literacy and stock market participation. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 101(2), 449-472. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2011.03.006>
- Xin, Z., Xiao, B., Wang, L., & Xiao, H. (2024). Individuals' differences in self-assessment: The relationship between subjective and objective financial literacy. *Metacognition and Learning*, 19(1), 365-379. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11409-024-09375-0>
- Yamane, T. (1967). *Statistics: An Introductory Analysis* (2nd ed.). New York: Harper and Row.
- Yang, J., Wu, Y., & Huang, B. (2023). Digital finance and financial literacy: Evidence from Chinese households. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 156, 107005. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2023.107005>
- Yun, L., & Mao, Z. (2025). Psychological security, financial market participation, and residents' subjective well-being: An empirical analysis based on CFPS data. *International Review of Economics & Finance*, 97, 103744. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iref.2024.103744>
- Zhao, H., & Zhang, L. (2020). Talking money at home: the value of family financial socialization. *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 38(7), 1617-1634. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijbm-04-2020-0174>
- Zhao, H., & Zhang, L. (2021). Financial literacy or investment experience: which is more influential in cryptocurrency investment?. *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 39(7), 1208-1226. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijbm-11-2020-0552>

Information about the authors

***Ishfaq Ahmed** – PhD, Associate Professor, College of Business, University of Buraimi, Al Buraimi, Oman, email: ishfaq.a@uob.edu.om, ORCID ID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1980-5872>

Zeeshan Asim – PhD, Assistant Professor, College of Business, University of Buraimi, Al Buraimi, Oman, email: zeeshan.a@uob.edu.om, ORCID ID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2156-5006>

Hyder Kamran – Lecturer, College of Business, University of Buraimi, Al Buraimi, Oman, email: hyder.k@uob.edu.om, ORCID ID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4218-0612>

Авторлар туралы мәліметтер

***Ахмед И.** – PhD, қауымдастырылған профессор, Бизнес колледжі, Бурайми университеті, Эль-Бурайми, Оман, email: ishfaq.a@uob.edu.om, ORCID ID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1980-5872>

Асим З. – PhD, доцент, бизнес колледжі, Бурайми университеті, Эль-Бурайми, Оман, email: zeeshan.a@uob.edu.om, ORCID ID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2156-5006>

Камран Х. – оқытушы, бизнес колледжі, Бурайми университеті, Эль-Бурайми, Оман, email: hyder.k@uob.edu.om, ORCID ID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4218-0612>

Сведения об авторах

***Ахмед И.** – PhD, ассоциированный профессор, Колледж бизнеса, Университет Бурайми, Эль-Бурайми, Оман, email: ishfaq.a@uob.edu.om, ORCID ID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1980-5872>

Асим З. – PhD, доцент, Колледж бизнеса, Университет Бурайми, Эль-Бурайми, Оман, email: zeeshan.a@uob.edu.om, ORCID ID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2156-5006>

Камран Х. – преподаватель, Колледж бизнеса, Университет Бурайми, Эль-Бурайми, Оман, email: hyder.k@uob.edu.om, ORCID ID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4218-0612>